Sunaina Ajit Kumar
Dr. Craig Stensrud
ENGL 2309 S10
December 2nd, 2024

Oh What a Sight! Pageant that Shines, But Government Takes Flight: Performance over Policy in Robert Coover's The Cat in the Hat for President

Robert Coover's *The Cat in the Hat for President* (1968) (republished as *A Political Fable* in 1980) offers a scathing critique of the dominance of spectacle over policy in modern politics. This phenomenon undermines good government by prioritizing charisma and performance over substance and competence. Using Dr. Seuss' irreverent figure of The Cat in the Hat, Coover constructs a fictional political landscape that mirrors real-world dynamics, where emotional appeal is often stronger than thoughtfulness and competence. The Cat's chaotic and theatrical campaign exemplifies the rise of populist leaders in contemporary democracies, leaders who engage the masses through showmanship. Coover's novella is more than a simple critique of political structures. It also deeply explores the human condition, aligning with the author's broader literary themes of myth, imagination, and the complicated line between reality and fiction. Coover's work critiques the mechanisms of leadership and the electorate's complicity in valuing entertainment over policy. This dynamic is especially relevant in today's political climate, where populist movements frequently harness the power of emotional connection and media-driven theatrics to mobilize support. The Cat becomes a lens through which Coover examines broader societal trends, revealing how the boundaries between performance and reality blur in the pursuit of power. This essay incorporates scholarly insights to position The Cat in the Hat for President within a broader literary and political context,

connecting its themes to critical discussions about leadership, postmodernism, and populism. R.H.W. Dillard's *The Wisdom of the Beast* highlights Coover's preoccupation with the imaginative and moral complexities of the human condition, emphasizing the tensions between creation and destruction inherent in his works. Heinz Ickstadt's *History, Fiction, and the Designs of Robert Coover* underscores the mixing of reality and fiction, framing the novella's satirical narrative as a postmodern interrogation of societal myths. Brian Evenson's *Understanding Robert Coover* expands on this by examining Coover's ability to disrupt dominant narratives, exposing the artificial constructs that hold up social and political systems. These literary perspectives are further complemented by Rogers Brubaker's *Why Populism?*, which provides a sociopolitical framework for understanding the Cat's campaign within the dynamics of populist rhetoric. Brubaker's exploration of the emotional resonance and anti-elite strategies employed by populist leaders illuminates the novella's critique of performative politics. By engaging with these scholarly perspectives, this essay demonstrates how Coover's postmodernist techniques amplify his critique of political theatrics. Through an analysis of key terms such as "performance," "populism," and "postmodernism," this essay situates The Cat in the Hat for President as both a biting political satire and a profound exploration of the human proclivity for myth-making in the face of chaos. Coover's work ultimately serves as a cautionary tale, warning of the consequences of prioritizing spectacle over substance in politics and society, and inviting readers to contemplate their own roles in these dynamics.

Coover's work critiques performance-driven leadership and its implications for governance, using satire and postmodern techniques to dissect political and social systems. Dillard considers Coover's fiction to be an exploration of the "terrible ambiguities of experience" (1). In *The Cat in the Hat for President*, this ambiguity is evident in the Cat's

campaign, which liberates voters from traditional political constraints and trivializes governance through spectacle. The electorate, complicit in this trivialization, is a key player in the critique of performative politics. Ickstadt's analysis situates Coover's work within the tradition of postmodernist literature, emphasizing his focus on the "interpenetration of the real and the imaginary" (350). This perspective is crucial for understanding the Cat's campaign as both a satire of political theatrics and a commentary on the public's complicity in prioritizing performance over substance. Coover challenges readers to question the narratives that shape their understanding of leadership and democracy. This aligns with Brubaker's discussion of populist rhetoric, where "political actors construct, perform, intensify, dramatize, and in these ways contribute to producing the very crises to which they claim to respond" (358). The Cat's campaign embodies these dynamics, appealing to voters disillusioned by traditional politics while revealing the limitations of performance-driven leadership.

Coover's critique of performative politics reflects a growing phenomenon in modern politics, where the spectacle often overshadows substantive debate. The Cat's campaign is a prime example of this, offering voters a momentary sense of liberation from the monotony and artifice of conventional politics. As Clark observes, "We are weary of all the masks we wear, the roles we play, the foolish games we sustain. The Cat cuts through all this" (Coover 24). This statement underscores the public's deep-seated frustration with the perceived emptiness of traditional political discourse, where intellectualism and decorum are often seen as snobbery or elitism. By cutting through these norms with humour and absurdity, the Cat appeals to the masses, and his charisma-driven leadership can overshadow governance rooted in policy. However, Coover does not present this appeal without critique. The liberation the Cat's campaign offers is superficial, sacrificing depth and coherence for entertainment. Coover uses

the Cat to illustrate how performative leadership can captivate audiences yet trivialize the very systems within which it seeks to operate. The campaign reveals a paradox: while breaking free of political constraints may feel empowering, it simultaneously undermines the seriousness and integrity of governance, reducing it to a mere spectacle. Coover's use of postmodern techniques amplifies this critique by challenging the foundations of political systems and the narratives that sustain them. Postmodernism, characterized by its skepticism of grand narratives, rejection of absolute truths, and embrace of irony and absurdity, allows Coover to interrogate the myths surrounding leadership and democracy. By using a figure as absurd and chaotic as the Cat, Coover deconstructs traditional perceptions of authority, exposing how much of political power is constructed through performance rather than substance. Through the Cat's campaign, Coover exposes the fragility of political systems and their susceptibility to being co-opted by spectacle-driven leadership. This critique extends beyond the fictional narrative, resonating with real-world dynamics where leaders often rely on emotional appeal and theatricality to garner support. Coover's portrayal of the Cat thus serves as a cautionary tale, warning against the dangers of performance-driven politics and its ability to undermine the integrity of democratic institutions. By combining satire with postmodern techniques, Coover critiques the surface-level appeal of performative leadership and challenges readers to reflect on their own complicity in perpetuating this dynamic. In doing so, he underscores the urgent need for a more thoughtful and substantive approach to governance. Ickstadt's analysis further highlights the theatricality of Coover's work, noting how his fiction is "Abstract design translated into a 'choreography of gesture,' structure made dynamic and visual in the shifting yet patterned movements of the players, order acted out as drama or as ritual—form, for Coover, is performance, a design for participation, produced and set against the encroaching chaos of contingent life" (352). This is evident in the Cat's campaign,

where the Cat's antics, though entertaining, reveal the voters' cooperation in enabling his performance-driven leadership, aligning with Evenson's critique of societal myths.

The Cat's campaign also reflects the dynamics of populism. Populist leaders often present themselves as disruptors who challenge institutional norms, resonating with voters who feel alienated by traditional politics. The Cat's rejection of "every standard of Convention-floor behaviour" (Coover 14) mirrors this populist approach, demonstrating how emotional resonance can mobilize democratic engagement while eroding trust in institutions. Coover critiques this ambivalence by exposing the superficiality of the Cat's campaign. While the Cat energizes voters with his charisma, his antics trivialize the political process, reducing governance to entertainment. The Cat's campaign, with its emphasis on performance over policy, underscores the dangers of prioritizing emotional connection over substantive governance.

The Cat in the Hat for President critiques the dominance of performance over policy in modern politics, using biting satire to illustrate how spectacle-driven leadership exploits the vulnerabilities of democratic systems. The novella vividly portrays a political landscape in which charisma and theatricality overshadow intellectual rigour and policy-making, drawing attention to the superficiality and fragility of systems that prioritize emotional connection over substantive governance. Through the satirical figure of the Cat, Coover dissects the mechanisms of leadership, exposing how political theatrics can captivate the electorate while simultaneously undermining institutional trust and accountability. The Cat's campaign exemplifies the destabilizing effects of spectacle-driven leadership, offering a compelling case study of how emotional appeal can undermine governance and accountability. Coover's satire illuminates how charisma, humour, and absurdity can overshadow substantive political discourse, transforming elections and leadership into a performance. This dynamic erodes the integrity of governance and

diminishes public trust in institutions as voters become complicit in valuing theatrics over effective leadership. By portraying the Cat as both a liberating and destabilizing force, Coover captures the paradoxical allure of performance-driven leadership and its ability to simultaneously energize and erode democratic systems.

Coover's use of postmodern techniques, including irony, absurdity, and intertextuality, amplifies his critique of political spectacle. Postmodernism's skepticism of grand narratives and its embrace of fragmented, self-referential storytelling allow Coover to highlight the artificiality of political narratives. The Cat's antics, steeped in humour and chaos, demonstrate the broader deconstruction of leadership myths. Readers are invited to critically assess the myths and stories that sustain their social systems, challenging them to reflect on their own roles in perpetuating these narratives. The absurdity of the Cat's campaign exposes the fragility of systems that elevate performance above policy, making the novella not only a satire of political theatrics but also a call to reevaluate the priorities of democratic engagement. By critiquing the electorate's complicity in enabling spectacle-driven leadership, The Cat in the Hat for President remains a prescient and relevant exploration of the precarious balance between spectacle and substance in political discourse. The novella warns against the consequences of reducing governance to a series of performances. Coover's critique extends beyond the political sphere, inviting readers to consider how societal structures and individual behaviours contribute to the rise of spectacle in decision-making processes. Ultimately, The Cat in the Hat for President challenges readers to reconsider the priorities of democratic systems, emphasizing the need for leaders who can balance emotional appeal with substantive governance. This balance, as Coover suggests, is critical to maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of democracy in an era increasingly dominated by performance and media-driven politics.

Works Cited

Brubaker, Rogers. “Why Populism?” *Theory and Society*, vol. 46, no. 5, 2017, pp. 357–385.

Coover, Robert. “The Cat in the Hat for President.” *New American Review*, vol. 4, The New American Library, Inc, 1968.

Coover, Robert. *A Political Fable*. Viking Press, 1980.

Dillard, R. H. W. "The Wisdom of the Beast: The Fictions of Robert Coover." *The Hollins Critic*, vol. 7, no. 2, 1970, pp. 1.

Evenson, Brian. *Understanding Robert Coover*. University of South Carolina Press, Columbia, 2003.

Ickstadt, Heinz. “History, Fiction, and the Designs of Robert Coover.” *Amerikastudien*, vol. 28, no. 3, 1983, pp. 347–360.